arXiv:1704.08527v1 [hep-ph] 27 Apr 2017

Analyzing the mesonic spectrum using the method of Schottky anomaly

Aritra Biswas[1]

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600113, India (affiliated to HBNI),
aritrab@imsc.res.in,
WWW home page: https://sites.google.com/site/iluvnpur/contact

Abstract. A possible diagnostic is proposed which may be used to infer the different scales underlying the dynamical structure of hadronic resonances using the phenomenon of Schottky anomaly.

Keywords: Schottky anomaly, Mesons, Exotics

1 Introduction

The search for exotic hadrons has been rejuvenated in recent years with the discovery of the so called "pentaquark states" [1]. Along with the X, Y, Z states [2] discovered in the last decade by the different experimental groups [3] and the recent confirmation of the $\Lambda(1405)$ as a molecular state [4] (which had been proposed earlier [5]), this has rekindled the quest for a better theoretical understanding of these states. Various models have been proposed to this end over decades [6]. We propose a model independent analysis in order to identify states which differ in their underlying dynamics due to different interaction scales responsible for forming composite hadronic states. The tool used is the method of Schottky anomaly. We restrict ourselves to the analysis of the mesonic spectra only.

2 C_V for an ideal system

Consider a two-level system with energy-gap Δ. The canonical partition function is given by

$$Z = 1 + e^{-\beta\Delta}. \tag{1}$$

where $\beta = 1/k_B T$ is the inverse temperature.[1] The specific heat of the system at constant volume may be defined as

$$C_V = \beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{Z}\frac{\partial^2 Z}{\partial \beta^2} - \left(\frac{1}{Z}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \beta} \right)^2 \right] = \beta^2 [\langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2]. \tag{2}$$

[1] The temperature is introduced here simply as a mathematical parameter to define the partition function of the system and no assumption is made regarding the system being in a heat bath in equilibrium.

Substituting for the partition function of the two level system given in eq.(1) we have

$$C_V = \beta^2 \frac{\Delta^2 e^{-\beta\Delta}}{(1+e^{-\beta\Delta})^2}. \tag{3}$$

When C_V is plotted against $\beta\Delta$ the Schottky peak appears at a value $\beta\Delta \approx 2.4$. The location of the peak is a function of the energy gap in the system. A realistic spectra in general might have more than one scale in operation. In order to simulate the effect such a system we first consider the spectrum of a three-dimensional Harmonic oscillator. The partition function of the system is given by $Z_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D(n) e^{-\beta\hbar\omega(n+3/2)}$ where the oscillator parameter ω defines the scale in the problem and $D(n)$ is the degeneracy of the level. We combine the spectra of two such systems with different values for the scale parameters (Fig. 1). The effect of combining is to normalize the specific heat with a single partition function given by,

$$Z(\beta) = Z_1(\beta, \hbar\omega_1) + Z_2(\beta, \hbar\omega_2). \tag{4}$$

The peaks appear when there spectrum has a cut off otherwise, it will saturate as a



(a) (b)

Fig. 1: Schematic illustration of the Schottky peaks in the ideal case when the data contains two scales. The individual Schottky peaks corresponding to separate spectra (harmonic oscillator spectrum), as well as the combined one (solid line), are shown. The frequencies are the same for all of the four figures as shown ($\hbar\omega_1 = 10$ MeV and $\hbar\omega_2 = 115$ MeV). The cutoff in the principle quantum number n (indicated in brackets) are: (a) $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ and (b) $n_1 = 2\ n_2 = 1$.

function of temperature. In the next section we give our results for the analysis of the current heavy mesonic spectra taken from [7]. For a detailed discussion the interested reader is referred to [8].

3 Analyzing the experimental spectra

– **The charmonium spectra**: When all the $c\bar{c}$ states are plotted together, the existence of two peaks at $T \approx 40$ MeV and $T \approx 190$ MeV are revealed, indicating the

existence of two well defined scales, which might be identified with the "hyperfine" and the "confinement" scales respectively. When the states are grouped according to their individual J values $J = 0, 1, 2$, the hyperfine peak vanishes, and only the confinement peak is retained. However, when only the "exotic" states in the charmonium mass range are plotted, we again find a double-peaked structure, where the confinement peak shifts to 100 MeV along with a sharp peak below 10 MeV.

– **The bottomonium spectra** The two peaked structure with distinct hyperfine (22 MeV) and confinement (185 MeV) peaks are revealed for the case of the bottomonium spectrum also. The hyperfine peak disappears as expected when the states are grouped according to their J values and plotted again.The bottomonium exotics are however, scarce in number (three to be exact), with ill defined quantum numbers. It is hence premature to comment on these states. However, on plotting, they do retain the peak at 100 MeV, which is encouraging and may mean that the underlying mechanism for the charmonium and the bottomonium exotic states are the same.
– **The open charm spectra** Compared to those discussed previously, this case is more complicated due to the presence of the isospin (I) symmetry along with the J symmetry. However, on plotting all the open charm mesons together, the corresponding C_V vs T plot shows the two-peaked structure with the confinement peak at $T = 160$ MeV and the hyperfine peak at $T = 50$ MeV approximately. On plotting states with the same J and I together, the hyperfine peak vanishes and only the confinement peak is retained.
– **The open bottom spectra** The open bottom spectra is rather sparse as compared to the open charm scenario. Nevertheless, some features are already visible. The two peaked structure prevails on plotting all the states together. On grouping the states according to their J and I values, a well defined confinement peak is seen. However, due to the limited number of states, one should wait for the advent of more data in the future before drawing any conclusions for this sector.

References

1. R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 072001 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.072001 [arXiv:1507.03414 [hep-ex]].
2. S. L. Olsen, Front. Phys. (Beijing) **10**, no. 2, 121 (2015) doi:10.1007/S11467-014-0449-6 [arXiv:1411.7738 [hep-ex]].
3. For more detailed experimental references for all the exotic states, see [2] and the references therein.
4. J. M. M. Hall, W. Kamleh, D. B. Leinweber, B. J. Menadue, B. J. Owen, A. W. Thomas and R. D. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, no. 13, 132002 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.132002 [arXiv:1411.3402 [hep-lat]].
5. R. H. Dalitz, T. C. Wong and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **153**, 1617 (1967). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.153.1617
6. S. Godfrey and S. L. Olsen, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **58**, 51 (2008) doi:10.1146/annurev.nucl.58.110707.171145 [arXiv:0801.3867 [hep-ph]].
7. C. Patrignani *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C **40**, no. 10, 100001 (2016). doi:10.1088/1674-1137/40/10/100001
8. A. Biswas, M. V. N. Murthy and N. Sinha, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 11, 114012 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.114012 [arXiv:1509.06201 [hep-ph]].